UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,481	05/10/2005	Maarten Peter Bodlaender	NL 021126	7011	
24737 7590 03/07/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PDIARCH IEE MANOR NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			CHBOUKI, TAREK		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2169		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)	
	10/534,481	BODLAENDER ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tarek Chbouki	2169	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
This application is abandoned in view of:		·	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-	
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ite of Mailing or Transmission dat	ited
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	a of \$ is due		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	uired by, and within the three-month p	eriod set in, the Notice of	
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is	
(b) $\square$ No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all o	of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity under 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court revie	ew
7. X The reason(s) below:			
Examiner called Applicant on Feb 21 in order to req received from our Applicant	uest status feedback for this appl	ication. No response was	
/Christian P. Chace/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2169			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	)